

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/987,460	11/14/2001	Takashi Yamane	349932/00	6998
21254	7590 10/04/2004		EXAM	INER
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC			PHAN, HANH	
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	VIENNA, VA 22182-3817		2633	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Amelia alian Na				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/987,460	YAMANE, TAKASHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hanh Phan	2633			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 I	November 2001.				
<u> </u>					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/s	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the contract of the contract	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 3) 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

-In the abstract section, the form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said" should be avoided. For example, in the abstract section, the phrases such as "an optical filtering means", "optical transmission means", "a means", "filtering means", and "bandpass filtering means" should be avoided.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 3, 4, 7, 8, 13, 14, 18 are rejected under and 27 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 4. Claims 3, 4, 13 and 14 recite the limitation "the stop band" in lines 4 and 5.

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Claims 7 and 8 recite the limitation "the stop band" in lines 4 and 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claims 18 and 27 recite the limitation "fiber Bragg grating" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1, 5, 9-11, 15, 16, 19, 20, 22-25 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lyu et al (US patent No. 6,369,926) in view of Miyachi et al (US Patent No. 5,920,414).

Regarding claims 1, 5, 11, 20, 22 and 23, referring to Figures 1 and 2, Lyu discloses a collective detection system for wavelength fluctuations for use in a wavelength division multiplexing optical communication system is provided with:

Application/Control Number: 09/987,460

Art Unit: 2633

an optical filtering means (i.e., optical fiber filter 17, Fig. 1) having a plurality of wavelength pass bands for transmitting wavelength division multiplexed transmission lights consisting of a plurality of signal lights having undergone modulation with mutually different frequencies (i.e., local oscillators 11, Fig. 1)(col. 2, lines 10-40);

a means (i.e., photodetector 18, Fig. 1) for collectively receiving and photoelectrically converting the lights transmitted by said optical filtering means (17)(col. 2, lines 30-40); and

a means (i.e., optical frequency stabiliting controller 19, Fig. 1) nfor detecting the output level and detecting any fluctuation in each of the wavelengths said wavelength division multiplexed transmission lights contain (col. 2, lines 30-40).

Lyu differs from claims 1, 5, 11, 20, 22 and 23 in that he fails to teach a first band pass filtering means each having as its pass band said modulation frequency of each of said photoelectrically converted electrical signals. However, Miyachi in US Patent No. 5,920,414 teaches teach a first band pass filtering means (43-1 to 43-N)(Fig. 6) each having as its pass band the modulation frequency (f1-fN) of each of the photoelectrically converted electrical signals (col. 12, lines 52-67 and col. 13, lines 1-34). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the band pass filters as taught by Miyachi in the system of Lyu. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since Miyachi suggests in column 12, lines 52-67 and col. 13, lines 1-34 that using such the band pass filters have advantage of allowing selecting the wanted signal and eliminating the noise signals and increasing the signal to noise ratio.

Regarding claims 9, 15 and 24, the combination of Lyu and Miyachi teaches the band pass filtering means consists of a plurality of band pass filters arranged in parallel (Fig. 6 of Miyachi).

Page 5

Regarding claims 10, 16 and 25, the combination of Lyu and Miyachi teaches a means for digitally converting the output signals of the photoelectric conversion means and a signal processing means having a digital filtering function (Fig. 6 of Miyachi).

Regarding claims 19 and 28, the combination of Lyu and Miyachi teaches the optical filtering means are Fabry-Perot etalon type spectral elements (col. 2, lines 24-26 of Lyu)

9. Claims 2, 6, 12, 17, 18, 21, 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lyu et al (US patent No. 6,369,926) in view of Miyachi et al (US Patent No. 5,920,414) and further in view of Nasu et al (US Patent No. 6,282,340).

Regarding claims 2, 6, 12 and 21, the combination of Lyu and Miyachi differs from claims 2, 6, 12 and 21 in that it fails to teach branching part of the wavelength division multiplexed transmission lights, photoelectrically converting the branched lights and causing the photoelectrically converted electrical signals to be transmitted. However, Nasu in US Patent No. 6,282,340 teaches branching part of the wavelength division multiplexed transmission lights, photoelectrically converting the branched lights and causing the photoelectrically converted electrical signals to be transmitted (Figs. 27 and 32, col. 17, lines 33-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the branching part of the

wavelength division multiplexed transmission lights, photoelectrically converting the branched lights and causing the photoelectrically converted electrical signals to be transmitted as taught by Mizrahi in the system of the combination of Lyu and Miyachi. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since Nasu suggests in column col. 17, lines 33-67 that using such the branching part of the wavelength division multiplexed transmission lights, photoelectrically converting the branched lights and causing the photoelectrically converted electrical signals to be transmitted have advantage of allowing adjusting precisely the wavelength of light output from laser and providing a wavelength division optical communication system with stabilized.

and plesu

Regarding claims 17, 18, 26 and 27, the combination of Lyu and Miyachi, teaches the optical filtering means are fiber Bragg grating type spectral elements (Figs. 27 and 32 of Nasu)

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Mizrahi et al (US Patent No. 5,943,152) discloses laser wavelength control device.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Phan whose telephone number is (571)272-3035.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (571)272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.

Hanh Phan

Marlphan

09/30/2004